UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,453	05/01/2006	Lothar Dittmer	2002P01596WOUS	8061
	7590 01/06/201 PPLIANCES CORPOR	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY DEPA	GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL		
100 BOSCH BO NEW BERN, N	= =	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3743	
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/06/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

NBN-IntelProp@bshg.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/539,453	DITTMER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
I			

	`	stephen W. Gravini		3743	
The MAILING DATE of this communicat	tion appear	rs on the cover sh	eet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 December 2009</u> FAILS TO PL	ACE THIS /	APPLICATION IN C	CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but price application, applicant must timely file one of the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	following re ce of Appea	plies: (1) an amend I (with appeal fee) i	ment, affidavi n compliance	t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from	the mailing d	late of the final rejection	on.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing da no event, however, will the statutory period for rep Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either	ate of this Adv ply expire late box (a) or (b)	risory Action, or (2) the er than SIX MONTHS	e date set forth from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the punder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration d set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	The date on eriod of exter late of the sho	nsion and the correspondence of the correspo	onding amount o	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A briefiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS 	any extens	ion thereof (37 CFF	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final r	rejection, bu	it prior to the date o	f filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require to (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see N	further cons	ideration and/or sea			
(c) They are not deemed to place the applicate appeal; and/or	tion in bette	r form for appeal by	materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☑ They present additional claims without car NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37			er of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37			ice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (DTOL -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re			ice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F 10L-324).
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo			n a senarate i	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	Juliu de allov	wable ii Sabiiiilled ii	ra separate, i	unlery filed afficiallier	it canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendments how the new or amended claims would be reject. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ted is provid			l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-24,30 and 48-58 6061</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>59</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final abecause applicant failed to provide a showing of was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e 	f good and s				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the dat entered because the affidavit or other evidence showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is 	failed to ove	ercome <u>all</u> rejections	s under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An e REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	explanation of	of the status of the	claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been cons The prior art discloses the features as claimed Primary references Horecky and Finnegan sho	. Each refe	rence cited teaches	the claimed i	nventions, as stated i	n the rejections.
secondary references including each of the pri request is fully considered but does not place t	mary referei the applicati	nces and Turretta to on in condition for a	each the inver allowance.		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure State</i>	<i>ment</i> (s). (P	TO/SB/08) Paper N	lo(s)		
13. 🔲 Other:					
		/Stephen M	I. Gravini/		
			minor Art II	Init 2712	

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3743

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.

Part of Paper No. 20091224

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims change the scope of the invention such that it would require re-opening prosecution in order to give the newly amended independent claim features further consideration and/or search.